

Meeting Title:	Kent Safeguarding Children Board
Date:	23 rd April 2014
Time:	2pm – 5pm
Location:	Rother Room, Sessions House
Chaired by:	Gill Rigg

Members Attendance at Meeting:

Name	Title	Representing	Apologies	Attended
Gill Rigg	KSCB Independent Chair	KSCB		✓
Aisha Paulose	Named GP for Safeguarding Children for Kent and Medway	NHS England	✓	
Andrew Ireland	Corporate Director	Families and Social Care, KCC		✓
Andrew Scott-Clark	Acting Director of Public Health	KCC	✓	
Angela Slaven	Director of Service Improvement	KCC	✓	Nigel Baker attended
Bethan Haskins	Chief Nurse – NHS Ashford CCG and NHS Canterbury & Coastal CCG	Clinical Commissioning Groups	✓	Jane Shepherd attended
Jay Pye	Executive Headteacher	Loose Schools Federation		✓
Jenny Whittle	Cabinet Member for Specialist Children's Services	KCC		✓
Julie Pearce	Chief Nurse & Director of Quality & Operations	EKHUFT	✓	Sally Smith attended
Karen Proctor	Director of Nursing and Quality	KCHT		✓
Mairead MacNeil	Director of Specialist Children's Services (Chair)	KCC	✓	
Mark Janaway	Programme and Performance Manager	KSCB		✓
Mike Stevens	Lay Member	KSCB		✓

KENT SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN BOARD

Nadeem Aziz	Chief Executive	Dover District Council		✓
Nick Sherlock	Head of Adult Safeguarding	KCC	✓	Carol Mckeough attended
Patricia Denney	Assistant Director	Safeguarding and Quality Assurance, KCC		✓
Patrick Leeson	Corporate Director	EY, KCC	✓	Sue Dunn attended
Roger Sykes	Lay Member	KSCB		✓
Sally Allum	Director of Nursing and Quality	NHS England		✓
Sean Kearns	Chief Executive	CXK Limited	✓	
Stephen Bell	Director of Business Improvement	CXK Limited	✓	
Steve Hunt	Head of Service	CAFCASS Kent		✓
Tim Smith	Detective Superintendent	Public Protection Unit, Kent Police		✓
Tina Hughes	Acting Director	North Kent LDU, Kent Probation		✓
Helen Crook	Board Support Assistant / Minute taker	KSCB		✓

In attendance:

Name	Title	Representing	Apologies	Attended
Nick Wilkinson	Youth Offending Service Lead Manager	EY, KCC		✓

No.	Agenda Item
1.	<p>Apologies, Minutes, Actions, Matters Arising</p> <p>Gill Rigg welcomed members to the meeting and apologies were noted.</p> <p>The minutes and action log of the last Board meeting were discussed by members. Gill Rigg mentioned that the first initial of two members' surnames on pages 6 and 7 of the minutes are not in capitals. These will be amended by the Business Unit.</p> <p>With regards to action point 5.1 on the log, members discussed whether this can be closed off at this time:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jenny Whittle mentioned the pathway for Tier 3 CAF and whether GPs are referring to the correct level of service. Members discussed whether a backlog is due to inappropriate referral i.e. to go straight to a tier 3 referral so as not to complete a CAF? Sally Allum mentioned that the CCGs have appointed CAF co-ordinators in different localities which seems to be helping at the moment.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mark Janaway explained to members that he is currently looking, with Patricia Denney, at how to market the new CAF cards which will assist staff in obtaining the views of children in the outcomes of the CAF/TAF process. • Andrew Ireland felt that there is a need to capture what happens when CAFs are not completed rather than purely when they are, for example where a CAF should have been used but was not and what happened as a result. <p>Members asked that the action be on-going at this time rather than marked as closed. It will therefore remain as an action for the Board until it is signed off. This will be actioned by the Quality and Effectiveness Group with a report then brought to the Board.</p> <p>Members agreed that the minutes could now be signed off as a true and accurate account.</p> <p>Actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Karen Proctor, as Chair of the Quality and Effectiveness Sub Group, will lead on putting together themes and patterns coming out of CAF audits and report back to the July Board. (This action will be added to the original action 5.1 from the February 2014 meeting).
<p>2.</p>	<p>Vice Chair of the Board and Executive</p> <p>Mark Janaway presented the item.</p> <p>Board Members were given until 22nd April to cast their vote on who they feel would be most suitable to take up the position of Vice Chair, based on the nominations put forward. Two Board Members were put forward. One subsequently decided to stand down, leaving Sally Allum as the only candidate.</p> <p>Sally Allum is therefore appointed as Vice Chair of the Kent Safeguarding Children Board and KSCB Executive.</p>
<p>3.</p>	<p>Ofsted Inspection of LSCBs</p> <p>Mark Janaway presented the item.</p> <p>Following the last Board and Executive meetings, analytical work on the themes emerging from the reviews of LSCBs has been undertaken. These themes have been aligned to the KSCB Business Plan and Sub Groups and will assist to inform the Sub Group Work-plans. Mark Janaway explained that there is a need for Board members who are Chairs of Sub Groups or members of a Sub Group to ensure that this is a continuing, on-going action.</p> <p>Members discussed the themes and agreed that expectations from Ofsted of the LSCB are high. Patricia Denney recently attended a Community Care Ofsted Inspection feedback session where Boards seemed to be getting a lower grading than the Local Authority. Mark Janaway agreed that this was a theme emerging from the reports already published. Patricia Denney explained that Ofsted are expecting LSCBs to have greater oversight of core business, know what's happening at the front line and ensure that information is being cascaded efficiently from meetings to all staff. Also, challenging partners is a theme emerging.</p> <p>Tim Smith mentioned that most of the themes are part of the KSCB priorities which is positive. Roger Sykes felt that there is a real requirement to measure the effectiveness and impact of what agencies and the Board are doing - we do challenge but it has not always been evident that we have challenged. Members discussed and agreed to having a challenge log to evidence the challenge by members at the Board meeting. The KSCB Business Unit will put this log together. The log needs to evidence the results of the challenge what actually occurred and the improved outcomes for children after this and how the information was cascaded.</p>

	<p>Patricia Denney mentioned that there is an Improvement Review taking place in June 2014 then a Peer Review throughout the summer. Kent will be looked at by West Sussex. Kent will then be looking at Oxfordshire.</p> <p>Actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3.1 - The KSCB Business Unit will put together a challenge log to evidence the challenge at Board meetings and the results of the challenge, what actually occurred after this and how the information was cascaded (the effectiveness and impact of the challenge).
4.	<p>Health Safeguarding Needs Assessment. Action being taken by CCGs across Kent and Medway</p> <p>Bethan Haskins has sent her apologies for this meeting so Jane Shepherd, Deputy Chief Nurse for West Kent CCGs presented the item in her absence.</p> <p>A review of the safeguarding functions across Kent and Medway was undertaken in September 2013. Jane Shepherd and Andrew Coombe are currently putting together recommendations and how they will be implemented. Sally Allum explained that Chris Humphries undertook this original review.</p> <p>There are 16 recommendations and an action plan has been put together against these. The action plan still needs to be signed off by the CCGs – this will be done through a series of meetings between the Chief Nurses.</p> <p>Jane Shepherd and Sally Allum explained that a report can then be brought to the next Board meeting on 30th July. Gill Rigg expressed her concern that this particular action for a report to Board members was originally set in November 2013, meaning that by the time the report is presented 8 months will have passed. Jane Shepherd and Sally Allum explained however that the series of meetings to sign off the recommendations will not end until late April/early May.</p> <p>Roger Sykes asked whether the report could be taken to the Health Safeguarding Group first, as this takes place on 24th June, prior to the next Board. Sally Allum agreed to this approach.</p> <p>Members then discussed the recent Care Quality Commission Review. The report from this has not yet been published. Following publication, there is a 10 day window in which to question the accuracy if required. Jane Shepherd explained that the review identified good areas of practice and what work needs to be concentrated on in more detail. Once the report has been validated it can then be shared with Partners.</p> <p>Jenny Whittle stated that a group of 14 and 15 year old children were interviewed by the CQC on their feelings about the waiting times for treatment. Board members were alarmed by this issue. The fact that they were asked was positive but they should not have been asked in an open forum. Being asked separately so they felt that their answers were being given in confidence would have been preferable. Jane Shepherd will feed back the concerns of Board members on this issue to the CQC.</p> <p>Gill Rigg requested that Health be a main theme on the next Board agenda, which will include the recommendations by the CCGs, the CQC review report and also current CAMHS issues.</p> <p>Patricia Denney informed members that Liz Railton (who is leading on the Improvement Review this year) will be attending the KSCB Executive meeting on 16th July and the Board on 30th July.</p> <p>Actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.1 – Jane Shepherd will feedback to the CQC on the concerns that Board members have on the interviewing of children in an open forum rather than in private where they can provide answers in confidence. • 4.2 – The KSCB Business Unit will ensure that ‘Health’ is a main them on the next Board agenda, to include recommendations by the CCGs, the CQC review report and also current CAMHS issues.

<p>5.</p>	<p>KSCB Annual Report 2013-14</p> <p>Mark Janaway presented the item.</p> <p>A draft contents list for the KSCB Annual Report has been completed and is bring brought to the attention of Board members so that they can consider and provide their views.</p> <p>Mark Janaway explained that the report will be presented to Kent County Council on 17th July 2014, with the final draft required by 3rd July.</p> <p>Members discussed the contents and commented as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sally Allum questioned the section 'Update of the safeguarding journey', specifically the reason for CAMHS appearing in this section. Mark Janaway explained that this part follows the Ofsted report which highlighted CAMHS and that this is on-going, not completed. • Andrew Ireland asked that case reviews and CDOP appear separately, not as a joint title. He also mentioned that CDOP is not mentioned very much at Board meetings. It would be helpful to have more information about CDOP in the Annual Report and generally at the Board. This will be addressed when the sub groups' summary reports are presented to the Board. • Gill Rigg asked whether members feel it would be helpful to have an explicit heading in the annual report about challenges that the Board have made. Members were supportive of this approach and also felt that there could be a 'looking back and looking forward' section explaining what the Board want to address in the future. <p>Mark Janaway explained that the Annual Report will need to be presented to the Board for final sign off prior to publication. As the next Board is after the presentation date to Council, a draft can be presented at the next Executive on 28th May with a circulation to Board members for comment before final sign off.</p> <p>Mark Janaway will put together a short programme of who the Annual Report needs to be presented to and on what date, this is to include the Head of Paid Service, David Cockburn, the Police and Crime Commissioner and the Health and Wellbeing Board.</p> <p>Gill Rigg has a meeting set up with Jenny Whittle and the Leader of the Council on 7th July. The KSCB Business Unit will ask whether David Cockburn can attend this meeting.</p> <p>Members then discussed the issue of Police and Crime Commissioner membership on the Board as KSCB have recently received a letter from the PCC office regarding funding and membership. Tim Smith assured Board members that he would always know about any reduction in funding to the Policing budget in advance and whether this would therefore have an impact on safeguarding due to staffing levels. Tim Smith expressed that he did not feel there was a requirement for PCC representation on the Board at this time. Members agreed with this view, as there are police representatives on both the Board and the Executive.</p> <p>Actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5.1 – Mark Janaway will lead on the process of putting together a programme to include the dates that the Annual Report needs to be presented to particular parties. • 5.2 - David Cockburn to be invited to the meeting with Gill Rigg, Jenny Whittle and Paul Carter
<p>6.</p>	<p>KSCB Priorities 2014-15</p> <p>Mark Janaway presented the item</p> <p>The Board priorities were presented to the KSCB Executive on 19th March where they were signed off. Executive members asked that they then be brought to the Board for acknowledgement and sign off. Mark Janaway will put together an action plan to pull together activity of Sub Groups and how to evidence the</p>

outcomes so that the KSCB can provide evidence when called upon. The paper here explains the key priorities with themes underneath these and threads that run through them.

Members discussed the priorities and commented as follows:

Priority 1:

- Sue Dunn asked for clarity of what constitutes early help and the need to make this more transparent.
- Jenny Whittle mentioned the early intervention prevention strategy that came to the Board previously but this was many years ago now and we have not seen much since then. Gill Rigg explained that a report on early help is being brought to the next Board.
- Sally Allum mentioned the Young Healthy Minds service that Sean Kearns and CXK lead on and the help they can give in Mental Health support for young people.

Priority 2:

- Tim Smith felt that 'protect' may be more of an appropriate word rather than 'support' in the title. Members agreed.

Priority 3:

- Members agreed that the sentiment of the priority is correct with a family focus however there does need to be a focus on children and whether their needs are being met.
- Patricia Denney questioned the use of the word 'impact'. There needs to be more emphasis on what happens next as a result of this.

Priority 4:

- Andrew Ireland requested that a specific reference is given to an audit, possibly using the words 'robust audit programme' for example. Also children who are missing from Education is an issue. He also requested that Autism be given more importance as this is an increasing issue, not purely disabilities as a general term.
- Roger Sykes brought up the 'key threads' at the end of the document and questioned how the voice of the child will run through all of the priorities. Mark Janaway mentioned that agenda item 12 at today's meeting should support this issue.

Actions:

- **6.1 – Mark Janaway will amend the priorities as per the comments put forward by Board members. He will then submit the final document to the May Executive for sign off.**

7.

HMiP Report Findings

Tina Hughes presented the item. She mentioned the fact that the Inspection took place at a time in which there should have been 3 months left of the Trust, so staff were still in the process of being assigned.

The key points from the report are as follows:

- For the six national HMiP inspections running from October 2013 to March 2014, the sample focused on protecting children. The inspection involved quality and practice.
- The new programme of inspection covered work by providers so partners were interviewed. Mark Janaway, Mairead MacNeil, Tim Smith and representatives from Medway Safeguarding Children Board took part.
- Each case inspection followed the journey from Court, assessment and planning, delivery of interventions and the initial outcomes of the work undertaken by Officers.
- The 2nd inspection week involved the Lead Inspector, the Deputy Lead Inspector and the lead from Ofsted.
- Improvements were identified in: the high standard of Court reports, Risk Management Plans and the

	<p>appointment of a Safeguarding Officer based at the CRU.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Post HMiP, all Trusts are closing for Business with a new structure in place from 1st June onwards. <p>Gill Rigg asked Tina Hughes about how they assess themselves i.e. had they been aware of the identified concerns? Tina explained that they undertake a QA exercise as the Offender Assessment System (OASys) only takes data from completed assessments, and as a result, management action has been taken to ensure this would be identified in future.</p> <p>Andrew Ireland asked Tina Hughes about domestic abuse and whether this was brought up as part of the inspection. Tina Hughes explained that the Inspectors spoke with Women’s Safety Officers as part of the inspection and to partners of perpetrators taking part in programmes.</p>
<p>8.</p>	<p>Board attendance</p> <p>Mark Janaway presented the item</p> <p>At the January Executive meeting a point was made about challenging members to ensure that an appropriate deputy with delegated authority to make decisions attends meetings if the standing member cannot attend.</p> <p>The document shows the attendance at the Board during 2013. These attendance lists are put together on a yearly basis in order to look into whether the membership is appropriate and whether the deputies attending are the appropriate person with the correct delegated authority to represent that agency and to be able to make decisions.</p> <p>Mark Janaway asked members to consider whether they feel that agency representatives at the Board are correct and that the deputies have the correct delegated authority.</p> <p>Sue Dunn questioned why they are 2 representatives from CXK on the Board. Mark Janaway explained that Stephen Bell worked for KCFN representing the voluntary/community sector. KCFN then merged with CXK. Gill Rigg will look at Board representation overall in the coming weeks.</p> <p>Gill Rigg then mentioned that 10 members gave apologies for the last Board meeting and 9 today. She requested that members continue to make the Board and Executive meetings a priority, as well as other Sub Group meetings.</p> <p>Sally Allum mentioned Health representation on the Board and that NHS England, the CCGs, KCHT and the Acute sector are all represented. Mental Health is not represented on the Board however but they are at the HSG. Sally Allum will look into this further and report back to the Board.</p> <p>Actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8.1 – Gill Rigg and Mark Janaway will look at Board membership as part of the review of the Board Constitution • 8.2 – Sally Allum will look into Mental Health representation on the Board and report back to members.
<p>9.</p>	<p>Feedback from the KSCB Executive</p> <p>Mark Janaway presented the item.</p> <p>The document provides members with the key areas and topics that were discussed at the last Executive meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAMHS is still a priority for most members, with members requiring reassurance that what is being commissioned is actually providing the right level of service.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gill Rigg asked Sub group chairs for future Sub Group reports for every meeting. • The Annual Conference was discussed with members requesting a one day conference preferably. <p>Andrew Ireland mentioned CAMHS and explained that there is a need to focus interest on what this Board are expecting. Tier 4 and timeliness of treatment is presenting as a big safeguarding issue at present but there is a requirement to concentrate on the key safety and safeguarding issues rather than join with the other CAMHS issues and going along with these. He also mentioned that it would at some point be of use to see some information on the actual effectiveness of the Provider.</p> <p>Gill Rigg brought up Serious Case Reviews throughout the country and that there have been a number of young people who have taken their own life.</p> <p>Sally Allum mentioned holding providers to account in the HSG; CXK for Tiers 1 and 2 and The Sussex Partnership have been held to account. She mentioned that the Board do not just want to replicate this but need to be specific on the areas of key challenge and risk that they want to know more about to make the best use of the Providers’ time. Mark Janaway and Sally Allum will liaise further over this issue.</p> <p>Patricia Denney had a query about the Public Law Outline (PLO) and the stage at which CAMHS services are required. Patricia and Sally will liaise further over this issue.</p> <p>Actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 9.1 – Sally Allum and Mark Janaway will liaise over the process of putting specific issues and key areas of concern from Board members to The Sussex Partnership regarding CAMHS. • 9.2 – Sally Allum and Patricia Denney will liaise further over the PLO and the stage at which CAMHS services are required.
<p>10.</p>	<p>Annual Discussion with KSCB members and Independent Chair</p> <p>Gill Rigg presented the item.</p> <p>The proposal put forward in this document has been used in previous LSCB’s and proved very effective. It provides a chance for Gill Rigg to get to know Board members and also for members to speak to her about any concerns they have or any items they would like to discuss. General themes coming out of these discussions can also then be identified. Gill also suggested that Board members should be involved in her appraisal.</p> <p>Members endorsed this process and signed off the proposal.</p>
<p>11.</p>	<p>Report of Missing Children and Young People in Kent</p> <p>Nick Wilkinson, Youth Offending Service Lead Manager (EY, KCC) presented the item.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the last 9 months he has worked closely with Mark Janaway and Tim Smith in responding to the issue of Missing Children and looking at Kent’s position. DfE Guidance was published in January 2014 which provides a number of areas for Local Authorities to concentrate on, specifically ensuring that robust systems are in place to respond to Missing Children. • A Task and Finish Group has been on-going since 2013 to look at how to respond to guidance and identify gaps across Kent. This paper has been put together to ensure that members are sighted on the issues. The Ofsted framework specifically refers to ensuring that LSCBs respond to all children who go missing. • Members discussed also linking in with other children and those missing from Education and learning lessons in relation to CSE. • Mark Janaway explained that the document puts forward the current issues and Kent’s position. Also the paper puts forward options on how to take action. There is a requirement to have something in place to ensure that the Board are aware of all the issues and can then oversee what agencies are

doing to ensure they are protecting vulnerable children.

- Mark Janaway explained that one option is to start at the CDT/CRU, who then work with the Police and then inform all relevant agencies. This would mean a greater co-ordination from a central unit.
- Members discussed what would happen when a child returns and the fact that there is not always a good process of co-ordination in all agencies. There is no formal process in place for follow up on children not known to Social Care so this leaves them vulnerable.
- Nick Wilkinson explained that the final page of the report provides a checklist for professionals to assist with the process. The Task and Finish Group have already gone through the list in detail.

Members discussed the report and commented as follows:

- Sally Allum explained that NHS England is currently looking at putting a protocol together.
- Jenny Whittle mentioned return interviews and the fact that if a young person is missing many times in a year, whether the correct people are undertaking the interviews. Nick Wilkinson explained that Police data shows that for 2012, 2538 children under 17 went missing in Kent. 298 were known to SCS meaning that 2200 were not known (this data has not been verified however). When broken down by repeats, some children have gone missing over 40 times.
- Tim Smith mentioned Missing Person Liaison Officers. Their remit is to look at repeat cases and identify the risks, linking also to CSE as required. The Medway data set for the 1st quarter data shows a 6.5% reduction as a result. Tim felt that positive work is being undertaken however the key issue is how it is all co-ordinated and by whom.
- Gill Rigg asked if there were procedures for non-looked after children. Mark Janaway confirmed that procedures are currently being reviewed.
- Sue Dunn mentioned that there is no reference to schools/colleges or pupil referral units. Data can be obtained from these if required.
- Roger Sykes mentioned that Missing Children is currently part of the Trafficking and CSE Sub Group and also as a separate Task and Finish Group. He questioned what will happen to the on-going work when the Task and Finish Group ends. He asked members to give some thought into how to look at issues going forward.
- Andrew Ireland felt that discussions on Missing Education tended to go together with Missing Children in other Authorities but that Kent also needs to find a way of getting beyond this and separating ourselves. There is a need to look at the responsibilities and issues specifically relevant to Kent, not just nationally. Andrew also felt that the recommendations are not strong enough. He felt that the 4 bullet-points at the end of appendix A could be incorporated into the main recommendations and could be adopted as the next steps for Kent.
- Members agreed to continue the Task and Finish Group until all members and agencies are satisfied that an effective on-going work plan is in place.
- Tim Smith mentioned repeat data. The Police can look at each district to reduce repeat missing children. There is enough data available to enable the county to look into the 40+ children who are repeat missing cases. There could be a meeting for agencies once a month for example to look at the top 10 repeat cases and reassure agencies that everything is being done that can be done.

Members agreed to implement the Ofsted recommendations.

With regards to self-evaluation, members discussed who will hold responsibility for taking forward. Gill Rigg recently met with Angela Slaven who explained that she is happy to lead on this issue. Members endorsed this approach. Nick Wilkinson mentioned that Worcestershire has strong procedures and that KSCB could look over these to aid in the self-assessment.

Gill Rigg requested an updated report to the next KSCB Executive meeting on 28th May.

Actions:

- **11.1 – Mark Janaway will liaise with Angela Slaven and Nick Wilkinson to take Missing Children issues forward and present an update report to the May Executive meeting.**

12.	<p>Young People – future presentations</p> <p>Mark Janaway presented the item</p> <p>The issue of obtaining the voice of children at Board level has been discussed at previous meetings during 2013. There is a requirement to evidence how the Board engages and incorporates the views of young people.</p> <p>The proposal is for an agenda item at future Board meetings to be lead by and delivered by young people about something that is meaningful to them and that they want to bring to the attention of Board members.</p> <p>Members discussed the proposal and commented as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patricia Denney mentioned the number of different established groups already in Kent, for example the Children in Care Council, Kent Youth County Council and the Kent Virtual School. • Tim Smith felt that members should keep an open mind in terms of how to get the items into the Board. If a young person is to physically present then this may only attract the most confident young people which will therefore not be representative of all. The option of a video or audio recording could therefore be put forward. • Jenny Whittle mentioned a Children in Care Council DVD that was made originally 4 years ago with a further follow up DVD recently. She explained to members how powerful this was and encouraged members to view this. • Gill Rigg mentioned linking in with forums and having Board members to sponsor each young person forum. • Andrew Ireland brought up the School Councils and linking in with them to obtain a general sense of how safe children feel within the Borough. • Mike Stevens felt that the young people could be met in a place that feels familiar to them, and in which they may be more willing to put forward their views. • Sally Allum brought up a national Mental Health conference that she attended where an 18 year old described their transition through CAMHS from the age of 7 through to adulthood. She explained to members how useful and moving this was and also the importance of having this first on the agenda as it can set the scene for the meeting. • Carol Mckeough mentioned the Police and Crime Commissioner Youth Commissioner and possibly linking in with her. <p>Gill requested that the Children in Care Council DVD be brought to the next Board meeting for members to view.</p> <p>Members agreed that the first 30 minutes of each Board meeting would be assigned for young people’s items as required.</p> <p>Members endorsed the approach of being sponsors for young people forum.</p> <p>Actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12.1 – KSCB Business Unit to ensure that the Children in Care Council video is on the agenda for the next Board meeting
13.	<p>AOB</p> <p>Steve Hunt mentioned that Working Together 2013 guidance recommends that reports are fed back from the local Family Justice Board - he proposes that he therefore puts in reports to future Board meetings. Members were content with this approach.</p>

	<p>Ofsted undertook a 5 week national inspection of CAFCASS which will be published on 24th April. The grading received was good overall and outstanding in national leadership.</p> <p>Tim Smith briefly mentioned Operation Lakeland, the current investigation into the trafficking of girls in Thanet. Police, SCS and Health have had large involvement and the investigation is progressing well. A large number of victims and suspects have been identified. Further detail will be provided at the next Board.</p>
--	---

Future Board Meetings:		
30th July 2014	2pm – 5pm	Medway Room, Sessions House, Maidstone
5th November 2014	2pm – 5pm	Medway Room, Sessions House, Maidstone
25th February 2015	2pm – 5pm	Cabinet Room, Sessions House, Maidstone