

Meeting Title:	Kent Safeguarding Children Board
Date:	1 st June 2016
Time:	2pm – 5pm
Location:	Medway Room, Sessions House, Maidstone
Chaired by:	Gill Rigg

Members Attendance at Meeting:

Name	Title	Representing	Apologies	Attended
Gill Rigg	KSCB Independent Chair	KSCB		✓
Alison Broom	Chief Executive	Maidstone Borough Council		✓
Andrew Ireland	Corporate Director- Social Care, Health and Wellbeing	KCC		✓
Andrew Scott-Clark	Director of Public Health	KCC		✓
Bethan Haskins	Chief Nurse	Clinical Commissioning Groups	✓	
Claire Jones	Head of Service for Assessment, Rehabilitation and IOM	Kent, Surrey and Sussex CRU		✓
Florence Kroll	Director of Early Help and Preventative Services	EYS, KCC		✓
Jo Shiner	Assistant Chief Constable	Kent Police		✓
Nicky Lucey	Director of Nursing and Quality	KCHFT		✓
Mark Janaway	Programme and Performance Manager	KSCB		✓
Patrick Leeson	Corporate Director, Education and Young People's services	KCC	✓	
Pauline Grieve	Designated Nurse for Safeguarding Children	North Kent CCG		✓
Peter Oakford	Cabinet Member for Specialist Children's Services	KCC	✓	
Philip Segurola	Director, Specialist Children's Services	KCC		✓

Roger Sykes	Lay Member	KSCB	✓	
Charles Unter	Lay Member	KSCB		✓
Tina Hughes	Approved Premises Manager/SPO	National Probation Service (East & SE Region)	✓	
Sarah Nichols	Senior Administration Officer	KSCB		✓

No.	Agenda Item
1.	<p>Introductions and Apologies</p> <p>Gill Rigg welcomed members to the meeting. Introductions were made and apologies noted. Mark Janaway provided Board members with an update on Roger Sykes (Lay Member) who has provided apologies for the meeting due to ill health.</p>
2.	<p>KCC Libraries Section 11 Voice of the Child - Example of Good Practice</p> <p>Karen Sillifant, Service Development Librarian (Children and Young People 0 - 19), Libraries, Registration and Archives presented to the Board.</p> <p>(Awaiting presentation to embed into minutes).</p> <p>Karen Sillifant outlined the work taking place with children and how her teams use various methods to capture their comments. She explained that the teams are learning all the time and actively seek feedback from families.</p> <p><u>One Off Events</u> For all one off events, evaluation forms are provided to participating families/children. The forms are designed in a way that children can write or draw their comments and parents can provide more formal feedback.</p> <p>Time to Read (formally Booktime) Event – This event was created to promote making time to read and provided free books for all children at reception age. Families rated the event highly and the teams managed to capture the feedback from participating children also which was positive.</p> <p>Karen Sillifant explained that when looking at particular areas for feedback, the teams will use more comprehensive forms which capture specific details and are included in national evaluations. The teams ensure they stagger surveys to avoid duplication of work and to not alienate the public.</p> <p><u>Regular Events</u> Libraries hold rhyme time/story time sessions for younger children and homework clubs for older children. The homework club has been positive and parents have thanked the library staff for helping their children with homework that they themselves would struggle with.</p> <p>Karen Sillifant described how the libraries have learnt from feedback. After reports from families about a lack of services in the school holidays, they have moved to year round activities for the majority of libraries. Parents raised concerns about book borrowing for younger children, raising concerns about the possible destruction of books however they have since been reassured that for younger children, possible damage and wear and tear is expected.</p>

	<p>The presentation described the concerns that have been raised from feedback from families who explain that they do not borrow books or read to their children as because the child cannot yet read, the parents assume they will not enjoy it. This is a clear concern as there is evidence to show the benefits of reading to children from as young as a few months old.</p> <p>Karen Sillifant discussed the annual Summer reading challenge and showed examples of the progress trackers given to children which have encouraged them to pursue reading in the summer holidays. She also discussed the app (Kent Libraries – Library Treasures) currently under development that has been tested with children and parents. They used recruitment posters to involve families and issues/concerns raised have been given to the app developers to implement.</p> <p><u>Refurbishments and New Builds</u></p> <p>Karen Sillifant explained that consultations with children and families take place when libraries are refurbished. The most recent refit was in Swanley and the team used the feedback received to stock the library with books that were requested. They also included baby changing facilities in the refurbishment after requests from the public. In Minster and Thanet, mini refurbishments have taken place to include the use of child centred displays.</p> <p>The teams advertise events for children when it would be suitable for them to attend however there is an awareness to be more mindful of what is on offer and ensure that children know they can attend.</p> <p>Birth registrations now take place in Kent’s libraries and the team try to accommodate older children while parents register births. They are working to combine these services with ongoing promotions so parents are aware of what is on offer and are linking families with their local children’s centres.</p> <p>There is an awareness of e-safety and libraries use a two tier filtering system on library computers and wi-fi, with one level for children and young people and another for adults.</p> <p>Karen Sillifant took questions from Board members: Florence Kroll asked whether Karen Sillifant felt that there enough information about children’s centres and early help in libraries? Karen Sillifant responded that when registering births they do ask parents if they want to be contacted by their local children’s centre and this is an automated response if they say yes.</p> <p>Karen Sillifant explained that libraries are going to be launching a teenage mental health event and details will be advertised shortly.</p> <p>In thanking Karen Sillifant for this extremely helpful presentation, Gill Rigg stated that all agencies could learn from the style and methods used by Libraries in eliciting the views of children, young people and their families. She also recognised how Libraries have evidenced how they have developed their services as a direct result of the feedback they have received.</p>
3.	<p>Minutes, Actions, Matters Arising</p> <p>Philip Segurola raised a query regarding Sarah Skinner’s job title as Service Business Manager however on checking her email signature after the meeting; this was found to be correct.</p> <p>Fiona Trigwell noted that she had been missed off the attendee list for the last meeting; this will be amended for the minutes.</p> <p>Mark Janaway raised a comment on behalf of Roger Sykes who noted that on page 6, the CRU Report discussion, the Board resolved to formally challenge agencies regarding the very low proportion of parents/carers who were consulted before a referral was made. Roger requested that this should be reflected in the minutes and added to the Challenge Log. The Board agreed and the minutes, action log and challenge log will be amended accordingly.</p>

Actions from 20th April 2016

2.1 – Sarah Nichols to circulate Sarah Skinner’s report with the minutes to the meeting. Update from 2nd June - Action completed and closed.

2.2 - Mark Janaway to follow up with SCS in regards to using the CIC Council to raise awareness of CSE in young people. Update from 2nd June - Mark Janaway confirmed that he has set up a meeting with Sarah Skinner and her team to discuss. Action Closed.

3.1 - Sarah Nichols to check anonymisation of the case details from the previous minutes and publish to the KSCB website. Update from 2nd June – Anonymisation to be approved and minutes to be published. Action Closed.

3.2 - Ann Allen/Peter Oakford to discuss Police membership at the Health and Wellbeing Board with Roger Gough and report back to the Board on 1st June. Update from Ann Allen on 26th May 2016 and reported to the Board on 2nd June – Discussions are taking place however she is not currently in a position to report back.

5.1 – Board Members to discuss the referral process and threshold criteria with members of staff. Members to report back on the 1st June. Update from 2nd June – All Board Members confirmed that they have fed back to staff. Reminder to all staff to do so. Action Closed.

5.2 - Gill Rigg to write a letter to all agencies and seek assurance on use of threshold criteria. Update 11/05/2016 - Letter sent to Board Members. Action Closed.

5.4 - Sarah Nichols to publish details of the consultation process in threshold criteria on KSCB website. Update on 2nd June – Threshold criteria and consultation have been published on the website. Action Closed.

6.2 – A letter in response to the Concordat on Children in Custody to drafted by Gill Rigg and sent on behalf of the Board. Update on 2nd June 2016 – Action Closed.

Philip Segurola confirmed that there is sign up to the Concordat. The outstanding concern is in reference to the sheer volume of children in care in Kent. Jo Shiner queried what the implication is if we don’t do certain things?

It was recognised that the Concordat related to young people held in police custody. Florence Kroll requested that the minutes be amended to provide clarify and to show that children held in police custody are under remit of Risk, Threats and Vulnerabilities sub group.

11.1 – Gill Rigg to send a letter to the local Health and Wellbeing Boards in regards to the Sustainability and Transformation Plan response. Update 12/05/2016 – Letter emailed to the Chairs of the Local Health and Wellbeing Boards. Update on 2nd June – A response has been received and the KSCB Business Unit is coordinating a further response. Action Closed.

Board members discussed the importance of including issues such as obesity, FGM, toxic trio and emotional health and wellbeing. Fiona Trigwell reported that children missing from education are to be discussed by the Education and Early Help group.

12.1 – Mark Janaway and Sarah Nichols to arrange Board Walkabouts and circulate details to members. Update from 2nd June 2016 - Mark Janaway reported that the KSCB Business Unit is in the process of arranging board walkabouts. A request has been circulated to all members who have been asked for an offer of places to visit. The Business Unit hopes to arrange these for September/October 2016. Action Closed.

12.1 – Sarah Nichols to circulate South Tyneside Joint Targeted Area Inspection (JTAI) report to members alongside minutes. Update from 2nd June 2016 – Report circulated. Action Closed.

Philip Segurola reported that two other reports have since published. Both outlined similar themes.

	<p><u>Actions from 27th February 2016</u></p> <p>2.2 – Alison Broom to take back the story of Owen’s housing experience to Shepway District Council. Update from 2nd June 2016 – Alison Broom reported that she has received a response from Shepway asking lots of questions. Without identifying details of the young man at the Board meeting it is hard to determine what took place but the team have taken on the concerns. Action Closed.</p> <p>5.2 – Bethan Haskins to speak to Nicky Lucey in regard to Prevent being on ‘Health’ websites. Update from the 2nd June 2016 – Due for today’s meeting however Bethan Haskins is currently not present at the meeting. To be deferred to the next meeting.</p> <p>7.2 - Alison Broom to identify a District Council representative to attend the UASC Partnership Board. Update from 2nd June – Representative identified. Action Closed.</p>
4.	<p>Health Overview Presentation</p> <p>Bethan Haskins was due to present this item however was unable to attend the meeting due to being called away at the last minute.</p> <p>Gill Rigg queried whether the attending health staff could provide a health overview for the Board however they were unclear as to what Bethan Haskins presentation would be discussing. Nicky Lucey confirmed that a draft ‘terms of reference’ went to the Health Safeguarding Group and it was discussed how health organisations work with each other and how they can promote this to other people.</p> <p>Gill Rigg raised concerns that this presentation has been overdue since the February 2016 meeting.</p> <p>Pauline Grieve discussed that there is a need to outline from a health perspective, who has commissioned who in Kent. This is a complex process and the picture is becoming more complex and fragmented. Gill Rigg commented that many areas of Kent are very different and this is worrying from a safeguarding perspective.</p> <p>Nicky Lucey discussed the health provision in West Kent. There are gaps, but there is assurance from a safeguarding perspective.</p> <p>Pauline Grieve noted that small contracts are awarded across Kent but not necessarily in the same areas. Therefore to assure their safeguarding is a large task.</p> <p>Nicky Lucey explained that Designated Nurses provide quality assurance and provide feedback to Chief Nurses and to the NHS.</p> <p>Andrew Ireland discussed the response to the Sustainability and Transformation Plan (STP). The Kent and Medway footprint is very large so the expectation from NHS England will be to produce a Kent and Medway STP. The emphasis in every meeting attended is that this is not a local decision and needs to be from the entirety of Kent and Medway. The implications for all services, particularly primary care are profound; they are potentially significant in terms to overarching responsibilities for the STP.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p><i>4.1 – The KSCB Business Unit to send a letter to Bethan Haskins to address concerns around the health presentation.</i></p>
5.	<p>Local Children’s Partnership Groups (LCPG) – Safeguarding Leads – Focus Update</p> <p>Alison Broom provided this update.</p> <p>Alison Broom reported that the group is made up of District Council representatives who are the safeguarding</p>

leads in the district LCPGs. The Group reported to the Business Group meeting on 4th May 2016. In her report, Alison Broom outlined that the LCPG covers the 12 districts in Kent and that there are 11 LCPG's. One is shared between Dover and Shepway. The Terms of Reference and membership have now been finalised. The LCPGs have varying degrees of maturity. Local performance dashboards have been produced and are being used proactively.

Alison Broom reported three areas of work raised to the Business Group.

CSE Briefing

She was offered a briefing on CSE from the CSET and this will be taking place at the next meeting.

Taxi Licensing Standardisation

Alison Broom reported that the Kent and Medway Licensing Steering Group are working to address the inconsistency of this and bring all taxi drivers up to the level of best practice.

Threshold Levels

Alison Broom confirmed that she has asked all members of the LCPGs to ensure staff are aware of the thresholds and to be properly trained if not already.

Alison Broom discussed the work of the LCPGs needs to be more effectively joined up with housing teams and authorities to address the housing needs of care leavers. This is to be developed further. She raised concerns of children being placed out of area when they are found to be homeless; when that assessment has picked up other needs, then sometimes these needs are neglected when addressing immediate housing concerns and there are fears of children falling through the cracks. Florence Kroll queried whether it is able to be established at that point in the assessment, whether the housing is temporary or permanent. Alison Broom confirmed that it is possible to identify this. Philip Segurola noted that this is happening increasingly with young people from London Boroughs.

Florence Kroll discussed the youth offending team practice and workers moving young people back and forth between Kent and Medway. This is focused on the bureaucratic process rather than the experience of the child. Alison Broom commented that when this was discussed at LCPG Safeguarding Leads' Group, she was not aware that it was anything other than an occasional occurrence, but she has found it interesting to see what Specialist Childrens Services and Early Help colleagues have shared in today's meeting. Philip Segurola raised the issue of young people being housed in different areas due to gang related culture and how this has just moved the problem to another area.

Gill Rigg queried whether this issue needs to be tackled as a Board or led by services? Florence Kroll noted that it needs to be a coordinated effort between Social Care, Early Help and the LCPGs. Philip Segurola confirmed that a sharing of perceptions is needed and this will be taken forward by those identified. Board members identified Dave Holman and Helen Greatorex to also be involved.

The London Borough of Redbridge has acquired the 280 family homes that were on the barracks site in Canterbury and will be using them for housing homeless families. Andrew Ireland and Patrick Leeson first heard of this development from Canterbury City Council. The London Borough of Redbridge will be offering the homes to their families who have the least connection to Redbridge. Andrew Ireland raised concerns around the numbers of school places available. Andrew Ireland also reported that as a council, Kent have heard nothing from the London Borough of Redbridge

Actions

5.1 – Alison Broom to meet with Philip Segurola, Florence Kroll, Dave Holman and Helen Greatorex to discuss the concerns around the movement of young people between local authorities.

6. Health Safeguarding Group– Focus Update

Bethan Haskins was not present at the meeting to provide this update therefore Nicky Lucey provided a brief update on her behalf.

	<p>Nicky Lucey confirmed that the Health Safeguarding Group is revising the Terms of Reference. The group discussed the key national items of relevance, the Bradbury enquiry and Goddard enquiry and national mortality reviews. Nicky Lucey confirmed that the group wish to avoid working in silos and want to gain learning from the enquiries.</p> <p>Caroline Ferguson has been appointed the new Vice Chair of the FGM working group and it is felt that her involvement will add strength and resilience to the group.</p> <p>Mark Janaway gave an update to the Health Safeguarding Group on lessons from recent serious case reviews.</p> <p>Gill Rigg responded to the update by noting that it is really positive that the group is getting back on board and moving forward with their work. She raised concerns about a lack of a deputy to fulfil Bethan's agenda items and this will be addressed in correspondence outside the meeting.</p>
7.	<p>Business Group Report</p> <p>Mark Janaway provided this update.</p> <p>Mark Janaway reported that three groups reported to the last Business Group meeting. The revised reporting schedule is resulting in more debate and conversation during the meetings and the approach is deemed far more meaningful.</p> <p>At the last Business Group meeting, the three groups to report were Policy and Procedures, Health Safeguarding Group and LCPG Safeguarding Leads' Group. Mark Janaway's business group report addressed updates from CDOP and Case Review.</p> <p>Mark Janaway outlined the discussions that took place regarding Operation Lakeland. The final Overview Report and Briefing paper have been completed and circulated to the relevant senior managers to prepare for publication on the 27th June. Mark Janaway confirmed that he is speaking to Kent County Council's press office and they are working with press officers from the relevant agencies to draft a response in the event that the report obtains media interest on publication.</p> <p>Florence Kroll queried whether there were any details within the report that would draw media interest. Gill Rigg responded that we do not anticipate so but cannot know for sure. It was agreed for Board members to be prepared should the report be considered news worthy by local media. Gill Rigg requested that Board members stress the improvements and learning that have taken place. Jo Shiner confirmed that a united approach to the press response is essential.</p> <p>Mark Janaway reported that there are currently two serious case reviews being undertaken and that both are near completion. The final drafts for both reports are due in the next ten days and once these have been shared with their respective panels, it will be decided when the final reports should be brought to the Board. Publication of both reports will be delayed due to ongoing Police investigations; however this will not prevent learning from taking place.</p> <p>An update was given from the Case Review group. The group made recommendations to Gill Rigg on three cases to be considered as serious case reviews. Gill Rigg considered the recommendations, and following a peer discussion, her decision was for two of the three cases to go to the National Panel with the decision to be SCR's and the final will be a local review commissioned through the Case Review Group. In the next few days, letters will be sent to the relevant board members for dissemination to staff.</p> <p>Mark Janaway confirmed that additional challenges would be added to the Challenge Log following the last Board and Business Group meetings. It has been agreed that for all sub group meetings, challenges will be formally identified alongside actions in the minutes.</p> <p><u>Matters arising</u></p> <p>Mark Janaway raised the funding issues for the CSET. A review is being undertaken in June to look at the functions</p>

	<p>of the team and whether they are fulfilling the expectations agencies had prior to the team being created. Andy Pritchard has raised concerns in regards to the capacity of the team; if agencies increase their reporting into the team as requested, this could result in significant backlog of work. Andy Pritchard hopes to have a business case to bring back to the Business Group and Board as a result of this review.</p> <p>A discussion took place in regards to seeking clarification around deputies attending the Business Group and it was agreed by the Board that if the Chair of the sub group is unable to attend then a written update is more appropriate.</p> <p>Ann Furminger, Chair of the Learning and Development Group, has left CXK. Mark Janaway is currently the interim Chair of the Learning and Development Group; however this needs to be discussed further with Board members.</p> <p>Andrew Ireland queried whether Vice Chairs of the sub groups could stand as deputies to Board members. It was discussed that although they do chair the meeting when the Chair is not available, it was not a part of their responsibilities to be a presence on their behalf at other meetings. Andrew Scott Clark confirmed that this would not be suitable for CDOP as the Vice Chair is a paediatrician.</p>
8.	<p>Wood Report: Review of the role and functions of Local Safeguarding Children Boards</p> <p>Gill Rigg wished to give her apologies for disseminating the Wood report the day before the Board meeting but felt it was too important to not discuss. It was announced in December 2015 that a review of Local Safeguarding Children Board's (LSCBs) would take place and this was linked to issues of intervention where councils were failing.</p> <p>Mark Janaway provided a brief summary of the report for Board members. The report includes an Executive Summary (pages 5 – 11) which outlines the rationale for the review and the processes that took place. The recommendations from the review are embedded within these minutes.</p> <p>The rationale for the review was given that there is need for fundamental change on the basis that, for a variety of reasons, LSCBs are not sufficiently effective. There is a lack of confidence in current multi-agency arrangements to effectively safeguarding children. A key finding of the review is for increased cooperation between the three key agencies, Police, the Local Authority and Health. In response to this review, they are to determine, for their identified area, multi-agency arrangements for protecting and safeguarding children, whether this is to maintain current arrangements or to look at alternative ways of delivering the services currently provided by the LSCBs. The goal being that the LSCB should be replaced with something more strategic in function, although the existing Board structure could remain if it met the criteria.</p> <p>Florence Kroll notes that the strand that runs through the report is the need for clarity between “child protection” and “safeguarding”, that covers a wide range of topics such as Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) and gangs, and “wellbeing”, that covers an even wider remit. Florence Kroll noted that the Wood Report uses these terms interchangeably.</p> <p>Andrew Ireland noted that if it is a London Borough or a unitary authority, then it is more simple to arrange three representatives from the three key agencies. However for Kent, our NHS representation is the fragmented across many different commissioners and providers. He felt that most government reports for LA's are written with unitary authorities in mind and cannot easily be implemented in larger authorities.</p> <p>Florence Kroll queried whether it would be the Chief Officer to hold this statutory responsibility and therefore hold the other health agencies to account; the decision of who this would be for health is ultimately the decision of the NHS.</p> <p>Mark Janaway confirmed that the report does not dictate how the new arrangements should look and there is encouragement to move away from the more prescribed model. The two main areas of importance from the report are (page 8):</p>

- introduce a more effective statutory framework to focus the arrangements on child protection and to ensure key agencies collaborate to deliver more effective services; and
- Move away from an over prescriptive system to one that encourages and authorises local areas to determine how they organise themselves to improve outcomes for children and meet the requirements of the new framework.

Gill Rigg confirmed that there will be no longer a requirement for an Independent Chair and it will be decided by the three agencies whether they wish to maintain that arrangement.

Florence Kroll noted that a large area such as Kent, allows for greater analysis of trends therefore it would not be desirable to break down to smaller Boards. For other partners, particularly schools, there will be a duty to cooperate, but this is not a statutory requirement like the Local Authority, Police and Health.

Pauline Grieve raised concerns regarding the funding of LSCBs; how would the Board or the alternative arrangement decided by the three key agencies be funded?

Mark Janaway provided feedback to Board on behalf of Roger Sykes:

"

1. The report conveniently glosses over the fact that much of the work of LSCB's is predicated on legislative provision and central government directives. I have no doubt that under any new framework; there will be an equivalent degree of central government input regarding the governance and operation of a new system rendering it vulnerable to the same factors which are criticised in the report.
2. It is naively fanciful to suggest that if the Andrew Irelands did not have to lead their agencies at LSCB meetings they would be adding to front-line social care practice.
3. Serious Case Reviews – I suspect that any nationally organised review system would still depend on individuals with the same skills and experience as currently conduct SCR's and wonder what would change for the better.
4. Child Death Overview Panels – it would appear to be a sensible recommendation to transfer the responsibility for enquiring into and learning from purely health related deaths to a public health/NHS body."

Gill Rigg confirmed that timescales for change are currently unknown, but the review provides a clear direction of travel. The review also requests that Ofsted cease their reviews into LSCBs as part of the Single Inspection Framework (SIF) as soon as possible.

Serious Case Reviews

Mark Janaway confirmed that, in essence, the responsibilities of the undertaking and commissioning of SCRs will be moved centrally. Serious Case Reviews, as they are currently known, are to be discontinued and an independent body is to be established at a national level to oversee a new national learning framework for inquiries into child deaths and cases where children have experienced serious harm.

Mark Janaway confirmed that this approach does have a degree of logic. Previously the National Panel took no role in the collation of learning of serious case reviews and if this develops into greater learning for agencies and their staff then that has to be positive. Mark Janaway did highlight that although these investigations may be commissioned centrally, the administration and support still needs to be arranged locally. Florence Kroll concurred that agencies in Kent will most likely be expected to undertake a local review and then submit that to the national body for further review. She raised concerns that this could be confusing for the workforce if there are too many reviews taking place at one time.

Philip Segurola noted that the LSCBs/alternative arrangements put in place would still be required to publish lessons learnt. At the moment we carry out learning reviews on cases that are not full SCRs. The new national body sees 20 cases a year as being done centrally, leaving the vast majority of reviews being conducted by the local arrangements.

Child Death Overview Panel

Andrew Scott Clark provided a response to the review as the Chair of CDOP.

Kent has a population of 1.6 million and we regularly have 10-15 cases at each Child Death Overview Panel. There have previously been issues in specialist hospitals and the CDOP might not have picked it up due to children being from a different Local Authority.

Andrew Ireland noted that this issue is a Medway concern; the arrangements put in place as a result of this review need to be for both Kent and Medway. Andrew Scott Clark reported that Medway CDOP had no desire to merge with Kent.

The NHS need to look at the arrangements of Health and Wellbeing Boards; in particular the maternity services available because a lot of deaths are neo-natal. Some are preventable. CDOP would welcome this but would want to manage this over Kent and Medway.

Andrew Ireland noted that this review presented an interesting paradox. If you translate the report into a scenario that would work for Kent it is likely that we cover too large an area, but not from a CDOP perspective.

Gill Rigg confirmed that agencies do not have to move to anything different, but this decision lies with the three partners and their own consultation.

Nicky Lucey stated that regardless of the timeframe; the three agencies should proactively start to look at what they would do. She has confirmed that she will go back to her agency and speak to Sharon Gardner-Blatch about what the NHS England picture is.

Andrew Ireland agreed that the three key areas to address, child protection, safeguarding and wellbeing are a useful construct on which to work. Gill Rigg responded that previously, LSCBs have been expected to take on an ever increasing remit than was initially envisaged.

Gill Rigg confirmed that Health need to determine who will lead on this. Nicky Lucey queried whether sub groups could take the review away to discuss and bring back to the next meeting. Florence Kroll stated that the Health Safeguarding Group needs to have that discussion as the NHS is very fragmented and this would be helpful for the wider consultation.

Alison Broom commented that as we go through the widening out to wellbeing, then there needs to be a proactive involvement with the wider groups. Andrew Ireland responded that this review may have raised the issues that Kent is too big and regionalisation may need to occur, however he has currently had no discussion with Elected Members on this issue and does not know their thoughts.

9. Annual Report

Mark Janaway confirmed that he had hoped to bring the first draft of the annual report to today's meeting. He confirmed that he is currently lacking a number of sub group reports. This issue was raised at the last Business Group meeting and Mark Janaway requested that all Sub Group Chairs submit their responses as soon as possible.

Mark Janaway confirmed that once the first draft of the report is complete, it will be distributed to Board members for comments ahead of the next Board meeting.

Andrew Ireland confirmed that this issue is more important than it sounds. If late responses cause delays in publication then this means the Annual Report ends up being out of date by the time it gets to the meetings it needs to go to.

	<p>Gill Rigg confirmed that she has included the Wood Report in the foreword of the Annual Report. The Report is scheduled for the Full Council meeting in September, but it does rely on the sub group chairs making their submissions.</p>
<p>10.</p>	<p>Independent Chair One to One Composite Report – themed responses.</p> <p>Gill Rigg reported that Mark Janaway had succeeded in turning a long list of comments into a cohesive report. It was agreed by the Board to make the report available to all staff and to publish it on the KSCB website. Gill Rigg felt the one to ones to be a helpful self-assessment and noted that some of the responses can be included in the annual report.</p> <p><u>Actions</u> <i>10.1 – Sarah Nichols to publish the Independent Chair One to One Composite Report – themed responses on the KSCB Website.</i></p>
<p>11.</p>	<p>Other Board / Strategic Group Update:</p> <p>Adults Safeguarding Board</p> <p>Gill Rigg reported that she is meeting with the Independent Chair of the Adults Safeguarding Board on Monday 6th June.</p> <p>Nicky Lucey asked that concerns be raised in view of placements for older people and the concerns of young carers and noted that the geographical spread of this may be of interest to the Board. Teams are finding that older people are sometimes placed quite far from their carers. Nicky Lucey confirmed that this is a recently emerging issue that has not yet been discussed with the Adult Safeguarding Board.</p> <p>Andrew Ireland reported that the Adult Safeguarding Board are instituting a number of reviews and will certainly be setting up one Safeguarding Adult Review. He confirmed that all the organisations are around the table in the Board meetings which has its advantages but does make for a large meeting.</p> <p>Corporate Parenting</p> <p>The Corporate Parenting Board and Corporate Parenting Panel have merged in to one Group. This has ensured the sharing of information around CSE and the impact on Looked After Children. Other key issues being addressed include, the Independent Reviewing Officers' Report, the Fostering Survey and the Children In Care scorecard, especially as it relates to placement stability.</p> <p><u>Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children (UASC)</u></p> <p>The multi-agency Strategy Group has met. Key issues discussed included information sharing around the UASC profile, responses when UASC are reported missing and access to education.</p> <p>An update was provided regarding the Government's national dispersal programme which is planned to come in to place on the 12st July 2016.</p> <p>The number of UASC coming in to the country through Kent in May 2016 was fewer than expected, however, as at the 1st June, there are 870 UASC and 530 UASC care leavers in Kent.</p>

12. AOB

Chair of the Learning and Development Group - Nominations for this post were requested. As an interim arrangement, Mark Janaway will continue to cover this position.

Police Membership of KSCB – ACC Jo Shiner is changing strategic portfolios within Kent Police and will be replaced by ACC Tony Blaker. This also leaves the position of Board Vice-Chair vacant. Nominations were requested with responses to Mark Janaway.

HMIP Inspection – Tina Hughes informed the Board that the HMIP would be conducting an inspection of Probation in July 2016. Inspectors will be seeking to speak with partners on the 25th and 28th July.

There being no other business, the meeting closed.

Future Board Meetings:		
3 rd August 2016	2pm – 5pm	Medway Room, Sessions House, Maidstone
5 th October 2016	2pm – 5pm	Medway Room, Sessions House, Maidstone
1 st December 2016	2pm – 5pm	Medway Room, Sessions House, Maidstone